An Enhanced Kashida-Based Watermarking Approach for Increased Protection in Arabic Text-Documents Based on Frequency Recurrence of Characters
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Abstract: With text being the predominant communication medium on the internet, more attention is required to secure and protect text information. In this work, an invisible watermarking technique based on Kashida-marks is proposed. The watermarking key is predefined whereby a Kashida is placed for a bit 1 and omitted for a bit 0. Kashidas are inserted in the text before a specific list of characters until the entire key is embedded. Two variations to the proposed method were developed, based on the frequency-recurrence properties of the characters. An advantage with the use of frequency recurrence statistics of Arabic characters was evident in this paper since it had enabled the dynamic variation of imperceptibility and robustness levels as required for a target application. The proposed methods proved to achieve the goal of document protection and authenticity with enhanced robustness and improved perceptual similarity with the original cover-text.
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1. Introduction

The availability and distribution of digital text formats on the Internet in the form of websites, articles, on-line magazines, e-books, news, emails, chats, etc., made it easy to copy, tamper, plagiarize, sabotage, forge and reproduce text compared to other types of media. Online text documents have seen an exponential increase in use as compared to other types of multimedia since the invention of the Internet. However, not much attention has been given to the authentication and copyright protection of text. Therefore, the need to protect copyrights provided researchers with a new track of research, i.e., to produce watermarking techniques in order to protect such information. The research in this area started in 1991 and a number of text based watermarking methods have been proposed since then [1]. However, to-date, the research in specific areas of this field is far from satisfying the needs for such applications. For example, text watermarking techniques based on the syntactic approach of text and Natural Language Processing (NLP) algorithms are progressing slowly, as stated in [1], “NLP is an immature area of research so far and using in-efficient algorithms, efficient results in text watermarking cannot be obtained.”

A digital watermark is a kind of marker embedded in media such as audio, text or image, in order to
identify ownership of the copyright of such media. Digital watermarking of any media is considered a branch of steganography and its main objective is to provide copyright protection for intellectual property and prevent illegal copying and diffusing, as illustrated in Fig. 1.

Applications of digital watermarking techniques include: copy protection, copyright protection, source tracking, automatic monitoring and tracking of copyright material on the web, fingerprinting and content augmentation applications [2]. Both steganography and digital watermarking employ steganographic techniques to embed data; hence steganography aims for imperceptibility to human senses and digital watermarking tries to control the robustness as top priority. Since a digital copy of data is the same as the original, digital watermarking is considered a passive protection tool. It marks data, but does not degrade it nor controls access to the data.

Watermarking techniques go through several stages, starting from the generation and embedding of watermarks then the publishing stage (distribution and exposure to attacks) and finally, detection of watermarks as a mean of copyright protection. Fig. 2 shows the stages which digital watermarking goes through.

There are two main forms of watermarking: visible and invisible [2]. Visible watermarking is usually in the form of logos, images or text which is used to identify the ownership of the media (text, videos, and images). In contrast, invisible watermarking is in the form of embedding data that is undetectable. With visible watermarking, the following parameters are very important: deterrence against theft, diminish commercial value without utility, discourage unauthorized duplication and identify the source. In invisible watermarking, the following parameters are very important: validation of intended recipient, non-reputable transmission, diminish commercial value without utility, and digital notarization and authentication.
However, the invisible watermarking is considered more robust. Therefore, it is preferable that text watermarking should be easy to implement, imperceptible, robust, and adaptable to different text formats, have high information carrying capacity and should be effectively applied to print/digital proof. In other words, watermarking techniques provide security in a digital data by making imperceptible modifications in original document, which can be identified by a certain key through a certifying authority [2]. The benefits of digital watermarking are to confirm property ownership, to follow up of unauthorized copies, to verify validate and identify, to label documents, to control usage and protect content [3]. Finally, the continual exponential increase of digital information on the Internet presents a continual increase in the need to protect information.

In this work, we focus on confirming authenticity and integrity-robustness of sensitive text content whose primary motive may compromise on the need for secrecy in the communications channel during transmission. The motive here is that it may be required or even desirable that particular sensitive content should be freely propagated via multiple publishers/servers for wider outreach and dissemination. Hence, the well-understood relation between the client(s) and publishers/server now differs from the common one-to-one relation as in e-commerce transactions that had typically involved hashing or encryption algorithms being distributed between two or more known parties.

In this work, invisible watermarking Kashida-based approaches using character frequency recurrence properties are proposed for Arabic text documents. The proposed techniques explore all possible positions where Kashida could be placed before specific Arabic letters which always appear in Arabic scripts and are always connected to other characters from the right. This paper is organized as follows: Section 2; presents the characteristics of Arabic text; Section 3 introduces the related work; Section 4 explains the proposed enhanced Arabic Kashida-based watermarking; Section 5 provides the results and discussion; and finally, Section 6 concludes the paper with future perspectives.

2. Background: Characteristics of Arabic Scripts

The Arabic Alphabet consists of 28 characters and has many characteristics [4]-[5]. Arabic script possesses a cursive text even when printed and the letters are connected from the baseline of the word. It is written from right to left with the exception of numbers. Its letters change their shape depending on their position in the word. A single character can contain from one to four shapes for each character or ligature, depending on the implementation. The four possible shapes are: isolated; in which case the character is not linked to either the preceding or the following character; final; in which case the character is linked to the preceding character but not to the following one, initial; in which case the character is linked to the following character but not to the preceding one, and finally, medial; in which case the character is linked to both the preceding and following characters [4]-[5].

From the Arabic alphabets in Table 1, six letters from the Arabic alphabet can only be connected from the right side (initial form) these are: ﺯ، ﺭ، ﻟ، ﻛ، ﻕ، ﺢ The appearance of any of these letters in the middle of the word form one or more sub-words, meaning they form more than one connected components in a single word, these sub-words may consist of one or more characters. Therefore, the shape of a character depends on the context. Examples of words containing more than one connected components include:( حامد), ( حراق) and مكة. Examples of single connected words include: محمد, عمر and ﺑ. Arabic text contains diacritics which are marks written below or above text, in this work the text is assumed to contain no diacritics since most of the text found on the internet do not use diacritics. Several Arabic alphabet letters share the same shape, and are differentiated only in terms of the number and placement of dots on the letters. These dots may be referred to as desenders, if placed below the letters, or ascenders, if placed above the letter [5].
Another interesting property can be found in Arabic text documents, evident in the relative recurrence patterns of each character over many sample documents. Fig. 3 ranks the average recurrence rates over four sample documents to be used in further experimentation in this paper, arranged from highest to lowest frequency of recurrence. Clearly, it is seen that in the case of the four sample documents the dominant recurring characters can be exploited effectively for data-embedding/data-hiding purposes in this paper.

![Fig. 3. Average frequency of Arabic characters for four test documents.](image-url)
3. Related Work

The work in digital watermarking has seen an increase in the last two decades. Researchers in this area developed many watermarking techniques for different multimedia content. In this section, related work to digital text watermarking techniques will be presented. From surveying the literature, the work developed on digital text watermarking can be classified into two main categories: linguistic coding and formatting/appearance coding. The linguistic coding includes: syntactic and semantic, and the formatting/appearance coding includes: image-based, character/dot positioning, diacritics and Kashida. In addition to these main categories other approaches use combined text and image watermarking such as the work in [3].

Many watermarking approaches have been developed and used in watermarking text documents. In syntactic methods, the syntactic structure of the text is used to embed the watermarks [6]. The use of syntactic watermarking is limited since it can be easily attacked, resulting in the removal of the watermark. The semantic structure of text is used to embed the watermark; this is done by exploiting the text content to insert watermarks into the text techniques include: Synonym substitution [7]; techniques based on nouns and verbs [8], techniques based on text meaning representation [9] and techniques based on presuppositions which is a technique whereby the structure meaning and rearrangements are detected to embed watermark bits [10]. The major advantage of these methods is the protection of information in case of retyping or using OCR programs which is not the case for syntactic methods. However, the semantic approach may alter the meaning of the text and therefore may not be applicable to use on documents where the synonyms of words could provide a different meaning to the text, such as in poetry, English literature, religious books, ... etc.[11].

In formatting/appearance coding techniques, image-based techniques include methods based on text line shifting, word shifting [12] and character/feature coding [13]. In general, techniques based on shifting include adding bits or shifting bit positions resulting in shifting lines, words, paragraphs, adding space between characters or words ... etc.,[14]. However, techniques based on character/feature coding are applied on selected characters by providing slight modifications to the characters, in which such alterations include a change to an individual character's height or its position relative to other characters [13].

Other approaches are based on Kashida-markings, for instance [15] present a method useful for watermarking Arabic and Semitic scripts (used in languages such as Arabic, Urdu, Persian ...etc.). Such an approach is classified as feature coding which is implemented by exploiting the existence of the Kashida. The technique proposed uses pointed letters with a Kashida to hold bit 1 and un-pointed letters with Kashida to hold bit zero. This watermarking technique is implemented in two ways by adding the Kashida after letters or before letters. The work proposed by Gutub et al. in [16] is based on Kashidas where a secret message is embedded as a watermarking code. The initial stage of this method inserts Kashidas in the cover text for confusion purposes to ensure security. Then, the Kashidas are embedded based on the watermarking code which is obtained using positions of the remaining Kashidas not used in the initial stage. This method showed adequate capacity ratio and from the point of view of security it is concerned mainly about some watermarking removal attacks making it a good candidate to serve copyright applications.

In [17], the authors proposed an improved method of Arabic text steganography using the Kashida character. In this method, they modified their initial method which embeds a Kashida for a bit 0 and 2 Kashidas for a bit 1 which they assumed to be suspicious. The modification calls for avoiding the addition of a Kashida for a code of 2 consecutive 0s. For example a code of 000001 will not place a Kashida for the first four 0 bits (neither the first or second 0 bit-pairs) then a Kashida will be placed for the 5th bit (0) and 2 Kashidas for the bit 1. The authors claim this will remove the suspiciousness that may occur using the initial method they proposed.
Finally, not all techniques presented in this work could be applied to all Arabic text. Thus, too many techniques may not be used with sensitive Arabic text such as religious and formal documents, including the Holy Quran, since no modifications to the text position, meaning ... etc. is permitted within the watermarking-technique. Therefore, the proposed Kashida-based methods explained in the next section provide increased protection to Arabic documents and they can be easily applied in sensitive documents.

4. Proposed Enhanced Kashida-Based Arabic Text Watermarking

Arabic characters have different lengths and it is impossible to have a font style which could provide a uniform font size as is the case for Latin scripts [5]. Therefore, the purpose of this work is to utilize Kashida marks by inserting them between characters in words as part of the watermark encoding in order to protect the copyright and intellectual property of people or/and organizations.

The methodology followed in this work is to encode the original text document with Kashida according to a specific key which will be produced before the encoding process. The key consists of 48 bits and is 100111010110101010101000111101011000101101101001. In this work, the Kashida is placed after certain characters according to some condition. The flow chart for encoding the watermarking key is shown in Fig. 4. The encoding algorithm is presented in Algorithm 1.

![Flow chart of the proposed watermarking technique.](image-url)
Algorithm 1: Algorithm for Proposed Watermarking Method:

Input: key $k$ in binary format with length $L$; set of characters $S$ before which Kashida should be placed; set of characters $T := \{ء، ا، أ، ئ، ذ، ر، ز، و، ؤ\}$ after which no Kashida can be placed.

Output: Watermarked text

Start with the first bit of the key by setting its index $j := 0$.

2- For $i = 2$: total characters ($C$) of document.

3- If (Cond$_j$ satisfied) then

4- Insert Kashida before character $C_i$

5- Increase index of key by 1

6- $j := j + 1$

7- end If

If the end of the key is reached before the EOF then repeat the key sequence for the rest of the document by the following operation:

8- If ($j > L$) then

9- Set $j := 0$

10- end If

11- end For

Algorithm 1 is a variation of the technique originally proposed in [18], in this paper the proposed approaches explained in Algorithm 1 exploits the frequency recurrences of characters to yield improved encodings in the host document. By observing the frequency recurrence graph in Fig. 3, the characters are split into two sets (namely, A and B). Set A consists of the first 14 characters with large recurrence frequencies, while Set-B consists of the next 15 characters with low recurrence frequencies. In the first proposed variation, Method-A; set $S := A$ as the search-space for possible embedding, which is used in algorithm 1 with Cond$_j$ as follows.

If $C_i \in S$ and $C_{i-1} \notin T$ and ($k_j = 1$) then place a Kashida

This implies that if the current key bit is one, and the current character $C_i$ exists in Set $S$, then a Kashida is placed provided that the previous character $C_{i-1}$ does not belong to Set $T$.

In the second proposed variation, Method-B; two sets A and B are used in the search-space for possible embeddings, where $S = \{A, B\}$, and Cond$_j$ with Method-B in Algorithm 1 for placing Kashida according to the key bit values can be given with the following rule:

If ($k_j = 1$) and $C_i \in B$ and $C_{i-1} \notin T$ then place a Kashida, else if ($k_j = 0$) and $C_i \in A$ and $C_{i-1} \notin T$ then place a Kashida, which means that if the current key bit is zero and the current character $C_i$ exists in Set-A, then a Kashida is placed. Moreover, if the key bit is one and the current character $C_i$ exists in Set B, then a Kashida is also placed.

5. Results and Discussion

Fig. 5(b) and Fig. 5(c) illustrate examples of how the Kashidas are inserted in a document using the two proposed approaches (Method-A and Method-B) based on recurrence frequencies of characters in the host document. In order to compare the results with our proposed methods, three Kashida-based methods from the literature [15]-[17] were chosen to facilitate attaining the comparative metrics. The comparative results were obtained by testing each method on four different documents with different lengths. From the results, it is observed that the average number of Kashidas per word is related to imperceptibility. The lower the average number of Kashida’s per word, the higher the imperceptibility and therefore, the closer the perceptual similarity of the encoding scheme with the original cover-text. The proposed digital watermark techniques present high imperceptibility encoding since the original cover text and the watermark key are
perceptually indistinguishable; on the other hand, these are low capacity watermarking techniques which are more suitable for copyright protection and document authenticity as opposed to data hiding (as in Steganography). This shows that the lower the capacity the higher the imperceptibility, in other words, imperceptibility is inversely proportional to capacity. The proposed methods circularly embed the watermark message N times in the host document.

\[
N = \frac{\text{No. of extendable characters in the document}}{\text{Watermark length (bits)}}
\]

This consequently improves the robustness, since when a particular segment of the host document is modified due to common signal processing operations, the entire watermark can be extracted from other portions of the document. The proposed enhanced Kashida-based methods are robust compared to other methods found in the literature [15]-[17]. The comparison of all techniques on four different documents with different lengths using the same watermarking key is shown in Table 2-Table 5. From the tables, it can be checked that the capacity ratio and average number of Kashidas per word using the two proposed approaches Method-A and Method-B are lower than those using other Kashida methods found in literature. It can be further observed that no major difference occurs between the results of the two proposed methods based on the character frequency recurrence properties, but the level of capacity and imperceptibility varies depending on the number of characters used in each approach.

Fig. 5. An example of a sampled text after applying the watermark key.

(a). Original text.

(b). Watermarked text using Proposed Method A

(c). Watermarked text using Proposed Method B.
Table 2. Comparison Results Using Document 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Document 1</th>
<th>Total Characters</th>
<th>No. of words</th>
<th>Capacity</th>
<th>Capacity ratio</th>
<th>Average No. of Kashidas per word</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Method 1[15]</td>
<td>3423</td>
<td>357</td>
<td>788</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Method 2[16]</td>
<td>3423</td>
<td>357</td>
<td>630</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Method 3[17]</td>
<td>3423</td>
<td>357</td>
<td>2264</td>
<td>66.1</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Method 4[18]</td>
<td>3423</td>
<td>357</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed modified Method-A</td>
<td>3423</td>
<td>357</td>
<td>478</td>
<td>13.98</td>
<td>1.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed modified Method-B</td>
<td>3423</td>
<td>357</td>
<td>528</td>
<td>15.44</td>
<td>1.48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Comparison Results Using Document 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Document 2</th>
<th>Total Characters</th>
<th>No. of words</th>
<th>Capacity</th>
<th>Capacity ratio</th>
<th>Average No. of Kashidas per word</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Method 1[15]</td>
<td>2133</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>460</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Method 2[16]</td>
<td>2133</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>392</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Method 3[17]</td>
<td>2133</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>1408</td>
<td>66.0</td>
<td>6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Method 4[18]</td>
<td>2133</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed modified Method-A</td>
<td>2133</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>13.13</td>
<td>1.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed modified Method-B</td>
<td>2133</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>321</td>
<td>15.05</td>
<td>1.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Comparison Results Using Document 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Document 3</th>
<th>Total Characters</th>
<th>No. of words</th>
<th>Capacity</th>
<th>Capacity ratio</th>
<th>Average No. of Kashidas per word</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Method 1[15]</td>
<td>1582</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>386</td>
<td>24.4</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Method 2[16]</td>
<td>1582</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Method 3[17]</td>
<td>1582</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>1018</td>
<td>64.3</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Method 4[18]</td>
<td>1582</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed modified Method-A</td>
<td>1582</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>13.81</td>
<td>1.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed modified Method-B</td>
<td>1582</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>15.28</td>
<td>1.55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5. Comparison Results Using Document 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Document 4</th>
<th>Total Characters</th>
<th>No. of words</th>
<th>Capacity</th>
<th>Capacity ratio</th>
<th>Average No. of Kashidas per word</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Method 1[15]</td>
<td>7026</td>
<td>725</td>
<td>1568</td>
<td>22.3</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Method 2[16]</td>
<td>7026</td>
<td>725</td>
<td>1240</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Method 3[17]</td>
<td>7026</td>
<td>725</td>
<td>4140</td>
<td>58.9</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Method 4[18]</td>
<td>7026</td>
<td>725</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed modified Method-A</td>
<td>7026</td>
<td>725</td>
<td>1036</td>
<td>14.76</td>
<td>1.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed modified Method-B</td>
<td>7026</td>
<td>725</td>
<td>1109</td>
<td>15.79</td>
<td>1.53</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6. Conclusions

In conclusion, existing Kashida approaches in the literature were found to be vulnerable to security-attacks due to their high-rate of Kashida embeddings in their encoding scheme which were used mainly for steganographic applications. In this paper, an enhanced-Kashida encoding method was proposed
in order to achieve our goal of document copyright protection and authentication-verification whilst reducing the vulnerability to security-attacks in the watermarked document. In addition, the two approaches (Method-A and Method-B) based on character frequency recurrence properties were proposed. The proposed encoding algorithms proved to yield enhanced robustness and improved imperceptibility as compared to the other Kashida based methods in literature whilst achieving our goal with a relatively low watermark capacity ratio. Advantageously, the use of frequency recurrence statistics of Arabic characters had enabled the dynamic variation of imperceptibility and robustness levels as required for a given target application as demonstrated in the Results section. This shows the applicability of the presented methods for applications that include copyright protection, document-authenticity verification and document tamper-proofing.
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